

_____ Approved

MINUTES OF September 22, 2026 REGULAR LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting was called to order at 5:00 p.m. by Tom Aden

MEMBERS PRESENT: Tom Aden, Matthew Swabb, William Bird, Celeste Brlas, Bill Bulick and Eric Greenly

MEMBERS ABSENT:

EX OFFICIO'S PRESENT: Darryl Farkas

OTHERS PRESENT: Mark Craig, Christopher Schoenig and Alisa Edwards

Roll call was completed by Alisa Edwards

Public Hearings

A. 317 West Ave-Colton Ferrari

Mr. Ferrari explained that when he purchased the property, two of the windows were already damaged and had been that way for some time. He stated that recently, another window completely shattered; therefore, he did not want the tenants to be inconvenienced by putting up cardboard and plastic. He says the rain would sometimes flood the kitchen. He also shared that he contacted 9(nine) companies for quotes to have the windows repaired. He said he chose the company that was able to visit the property quickly to ensure the residents were taken care of.

Mr. Ferrari went on to say the windows were custom made to be as close to the aesthetics of the existing windows. He apologized to the board for the material not being the ideal type recommended by the board, but he hoped to have the 3 windows approved to avoid having to get the windows measured and made again.

Tom Aden asked if the board members had received pictures.

Mr. Ferrari stated that he sent pictures in the body of the email, which were pictures of the new windows from the quote. He says there is 1 broken window on the first floor and 2 on the second floor.

Matthew Swabb stated that he went to view the property and is wondering why Mr. Ferrari didn't just fix the glass panes.

Mr. Ferrari responded, " I know what I know and I know what I don't! So, when I bring a specialist in who tells me the whole thing needs to be replaced, that's what his job is; so, I just listened to him and did it."

Matthew Swabb asked if the other two windows had only broken glass also.

Mr. Ferrari says he was told everything needed to be replaced and he just wanted to get his residents taken care of as soon as possible.

William Bird asked Mr. Ferrari who the specialist was he is referring to.

Mr. Ferrari replied that the name of the specialist is Window Nation. He stated that some of the other companies had a long wait time, some would not accept the job because it was too small a job and others were unable to have someone come and look at the project for 3 to 4 weeks.

William Bird asked how did the company advise him... did he send pictures?

Mr. Ferrari says the company came to the residence and inspected the windows outside as well as inside and suggested that the entire windows be replaced not just the glass. Mr. Ferrari reiterated that the inspector is a professional, stating that's his job and his area of expertise and who doesn't listen to a professional.

Matthew Swabb stated that the job of the commission is to keep the originality of the home and from what he saw, the windows could be repaired simply by replacing the glass.

Tom Aden asked Mr. Ferrari what does Window Nation plan to do with the window on the northside which is in the vestibule? Will the company be replacing all the small panes around the window as well as the larger pane in the middle?

Mr. Ferrari stated that they would not and it will match the other two windows that are being replaced.

Matthew Swabb asked if the windows were already made.

Mr. Ferrari confirmed that the windows are already made and have been ready for weeks and he is unable to have them refunded or have his tenants wait any longer because it would be like punishing the tenants. He continued by saying he had spoken with Tom Aden and is willing to sign whatever he has to sign and go with whoever the board suggest in the future, he will do whatever is asked of him. He states, " I just want these 3 and everything else, I will go with whoever you want, I just don't want my residents to be punished and everything can be installed immediately."

Matthew Swabb asked if the windows were made of vinyl.

To which Mr. Ferrari replied, " They are made of vinyl, yes sir!"

William Bird stated that the window that is in the back on the second floor has cardboard in it.

Mr. Ferrari replied, " Yes, that is the one that is flooding when the rain comes in."

William Bird says, "...but the other ones have a storm window and the glass on the inside broken.

Mr. Ferrari stated yes and the resident have cardboard with a tarp on the inside blocking it,

from being readily seen.

Mr. Bird replies, "But, they are not open to the outside."

Mr. Ferrari says, "Correct, they are cracked and missing pieces. The window as a whole is still there, but it is cracked all the way through and the one near the vestibule is missing a bunch of pieces too."

Mr. Bird stated that he has not seen the window on the east side.

Tom Aden stated that the window is currently blocked by large bushes.

Discussion was had and Tom Aden called for questions

William Bird stated as an observation, it has happened prior with people stating they have already purchased the items and it puts the board in a difficult position. He goes on to say the windows should be repaired, especially the decorative window. He mentions that once vinyl is put in a historical home the value and integrity is compromise. Not to mention the talk of vinyl being placed at the front of the house ... this could lead someone else to think that if it was done at 317 West Avenue, we should also be able to do it. This will start a chain effect.

Mr. Bird goes on to say that the board does try to be user friendly and wants the owners to feel like a part of the neighborhood and that the committee is helpful. But, this puts us in a very difficult position.

Mr. Ferrari, "Truth be told, I didn't know I was getting a historical residence until the paperwork was getting finalized. So that was kind of a surprise to me, nothing against The Historical Society, I have a great respect for it... had I known beforehand, I would have approached you guys much sooner."

"So, I will take ownership on my side of that part of it, I guess I get how that works. I'm just trying to be the best landlord or go to person for my residents. I wanted them to have everything fixed as soon as humanly possible. So, I got on the phone right after I got the call."

Matthew Swabb, "In your property disclosure form it would have said Historical District or if you had done your due diligence to make sure you weren't in one..."

Mr. Ferrari, "Yeah, like I said....paperwork was getting going on signing, I don't know how many hundred pages of stuff and..."

Matthew Swabb, "Or when you viewed the house.... before you sign anything.... to piggy backing on what Bill said this commission typically does not approve vinyl windows especially on anything that can be seen from the street for sure."

Mr. Ferrari stated that he understands and respects the rules of the commission and is not looking to do everything like that, just....

Mr. Swabb interrupts and states that the windows would also need a permit, so the first stop should have been in the Building Department.

Mr. Ferrari confirms that Window Nation has obtained the permit and have it right now.

Mr. Farkas addressed the chair stating he believes there is some confusing in regards to application submittal and an actual permit. When an application is received for work the State of Ohio requires an application be completed, the applicant has done that; however, within the Building Departments parameters of the Building Code the inspector or Plans Examiner reviews this, weighs what's proposed verses what the code requires. Keep in mind, this is strictly from the Building Code perspective... If it meets compliance from the Building Code the inspector is obligated by law to approve that application. Now that application is on pause until the applicant obtains local approval, i.e. this Commission. Once that is complete the applicant will receive an Official Building Permit in the mail. (Mr. Farkas held up an unsigned permit)

Mr. Farkas continues to explain that the electronic tracking system called New World is the Building Departments method of tracking when these applications are submitted. "He says the Building Department has a resolution ticket placed with the City's I.T. Department to try to stave off, for this district, any type of automatic emails that are sent to the applicant. There is a caveat, (this is not your permit or approval) it just means your application was processed. It's on hold now because we need Landmark's approval... and here we are. So, to be clear your paperwork is in hand, it's this close."

Mr. Ferrari asked, " So the approval was sent out saying this was approved by law, like you stated correct?"

Mr. Farkas replies, " The application has met the Building Code requirement, but our local requirements have not been met.

Mr. Ferrari say, "No, I understand that, but where I was going with this is the people I hired, Window Nation is the one that's taking care of it so when they get that saying "Hey we have your permit!", they move forward... I was away from that, I hired them to handle all of that."

"So, for them... they have the permit, which is why they moved forward, so the contractor went forward with it."

Mr. Farkas responds, " Mr. Chair through you, I think Window Nation, being a very mature company, is well aware of the process. They turn in the paperwork and they think... we now in definitions and terminology... we're getting tit or tat... (Mr. Farkas hold up the application) this is the application...this approval of your application is not your permit or not an approval of your right to commence work... (He holds up a permit form) this is a license to begin work. The application means I want to begin work, but it is not granted. Everything in the entire City has to come through me, it is arduous, it is a daily ritual to make sure when these applications hit my desk, that I put a sticky note on here to the inspector and I say... Question Landmark's approval? I go back to the inspector and the inspector says, "No, they have it in process and they are waiting." This is not uncommon we have new inspectors down there, we have a new inspector of windows, doors, roofing and siding. He is learning his position and I have worked with him closely about Landmarks Commission. More overly, it has been a good training and refresher to others who haven't done it in a while. We

have diligently tracked these, and to be honest with you, I'm the last line of defense. This computer doesn't filter, mark or warn for Historical approval, it doesn't do that. I wish it did, our old system used to but this doesn't. So... it's down to me, there are times we make errors, but this was not that time, we caught it and you don't have a permit."

Mr. Ferrari says, " I get what you're saying... I'm not arguing that and that's not my question. My question is, "If there was an approval of an application to trigger everything, why did they say they have a permit then to move forward?"

Mr. Farkas replies, " They misconstrued the email as being an approval when the application was signed. It is in process... this(Held up permit).... our law indicated here and it's on the actual permit itself and it says this is your license to begin work, which coincides with our local laws that we've adopted as the Building Code. They took liberties because again... you had mentioned they are in time crunches, they want to get this done.

Mr. Ferrari stated he has paid for them to expedite the work.

Mr. Farkas continues to say that Window Nation has hundreds of applications and in their experience Like most contractors, they turn in the application and think they are good to go because they have paid the fee, the paperwork is just a technicality, but by law you can not do anything until the permit is signed and posted in your window saying you have received a valid permit.

Mr. Farkas says he thinks the contractors got a bit excited and didn't realize that the permit never took place. Mr. Farkas states he is only speculating, but this is his gut instinct.

Matthew Swabb motioned to deny the replacement of the windows due to the vinyl material

Celeste Brlas 2nd the motion

All were in favor, motion carries

Mr. Ferrari stated that he did receive an email from Window Nation with a copy of the permit. He wanted to know if he provides the board with proof that he has the permit will it change their decision.

Mr. Farkas stated that he would like to see a copy of said permit as well because he is looking at the one he did not sign. Mr. Farkas says he is curious to see what they produce.

More discussion was had with Mr. Ferrari while he stated how frustrated he was.

B. 418 West Ave – William Bulick

Mr. Bulick shared with the board that he would like to close in the carport that is attached to the carriage house and turn it into a shed instead of a carport.

Mr. Bulick stated that the current structure is in good shape and he would like to wall it in, placing a single-man door on the front.

Tom Aden asked if it would be an actual shed.

Mr. Bulick confirmed it would become a tool shed.

Tom Aden asked if the material will be that of lap-siding.

Mr. Bulick stated it would be covered with shake siding to replicate the rest of the home.

Matthew Swabb asked if the shake siding would be staggered.

Mr. Bulick confirmed that it would be staggered.

William Bird stated that the carport is not historic to the house.

Mr. Bulick confirmed that it is possible it was constructed sometime in the 70s.

William Bird motioned to approve the enclosure of the carport

Matthew Swabb 2nd the motion

5 were in favor, William Bulick abstained

Tom Aden asked if there was another application to review. (Dicken Funeral Home)

Alisa Edwards informed the board that an application has not been submitted.

Approval of August 25, 2026 Meeting Minutes

Matthew Swabb motioned to approved the minutes of August 25, 2026

Celeste Brlas 2nd the motion

5 approved with 1 abstention, motion carries

Review of Correspondence Received

No Correspondence to discuss

Old Business

A. 401 West Avenue- steps

Matthew Swabb stated that he was curious as to how the emergency repair came about and why it did not have to come through Landmarks

Darryl Farkas stated the Building Department received an application, the inspector reviewed it for State compliance and determined that it met compliance. Yet, a permit was not issued because it lacked Landmark's approval.

Mr. Farkas stated that the inspector never saw the stairs pre-condition and had no idea what state the stairs were in. Mr. Farkas goes on to say that he does not know how the owner feels

the Building Department deemed it an emergency, but he did not.

Mr. Farkas says that in his email to the board, he stated it was described by the ownership the condition of the stairs and due to the location of the stairs... keep in mind that the Building Code requires there to be one entry door at the front that meets a minimum of 36 inches in width. That is the primary egress point and egress for emergency personal, gurneys, firemen and etc. It is also the most likely path of travel. If there is a secondary access from the rear or the side it may involve traversing multiple stairs and landings, a much narrowing path of egress; therefore, the primary entrance is most likely your path of less resistance.

Mr. Farkas continued that if the stairs were this bad and the mother of the owner is severely elderly, it makes sense to him as to why they did it; however, he said he will leave it to the board to decide if the replacement of the stairs was an emergency.

Tom Aden says his thoughts are if the board did not approve the stair, the applicant could take the matter before council.

Tom Aden shared with the board what he knew about the other two doors and explained that both are below grade.

Matthew Swabb stated that he does not believe the commission would have denied the stair replacement, but the gargoyles may have come into question. Mr. Swabb states he would have asked the applicant to create hanging gargoyles instead of having them made into the stair structure. Mr. Swabb mentioned that the applicant is a prior commission member and is aware of the rules.

Matthew Swabb continues by asking the Mr. Farkas... "If there is an emergency in the Historic District should the repair be of in-kind nature?"

Mr. Farkas addresses the board again stating that he can not speak for the Commission and can only govern himself according to the Building Code, of which the Building Code allows for a minimum width on stairs of 36" and the stairs in question are much larger.

Mr. Farkas says, " I'm going to take my hat off and say, I have an aging mother who has trouble traversing. If, I'm taking her to doctor's appointments and stuff the last thing I want to worry about is someone falling down and getting hurt on a set of stairs. If the applicant did this and felt it a true emergency, it was done in the best interest of the parent. There has to be a line that we can't cross where aesthetic supersede a human being. I will rest my case with that. Thank you!"

Matthew Swabb says, " Now, I agree with that and they did a nice stair, the décor on it may not have been approved, but the stairs look nice. It just bothers me that an ex-commission member didn't do his due diligence and he knew that....if a neighbor would have done the same thing....

Mr. Farkas says, " Mr. Chair through you, I just going to say it like this... I always put things on the scale of common sense... at what point is to much too much? I mean for me, I'm not attacking the commission's purpose, I totally understand it. Let's look at the larger picture, if it comes down to aesthetics perhaps the board can approve with a caveat, I will leave that up to you, but I mean... It's

done. I'm going to ask the board... now that it's done and if it doesn't receive an approval at what point does this become a Fair Housing Act for violating someone's rights to protect themselves by installing approved stairs? That's something for our legal team to answer... but I will say this is food for thought. It's done, they look great!"

Mr. Farkas goes on to say that he did not think the stairs were wood based on the photos. He says the stairs looked very tasteful.

Mr. Aden says the stairs are sand painted.

Mr. Aden says he can ask David Pavlak to complete and application to make the process clean.

The secretary held up the application Mr. Pavlak had completed.

Matthew Swabb motioned to approve the stair application

Eric Greenly 2nd the motion

5 are in favor and 1 person abstained

Tom Aden asked Christopher Schoenig if he had any information about the funeral home.

Mr. Schoenig stated that he has an update for 323 Middle Ave, Dicken Funeral Home. He says the applicant has decided not to pursue the demolition of the 2 structures. They will need new variances for the propose new lot lines.

Tom Aden thanked Eric Greenly for providing the Sandlot Map that showed the history as far back as 1897.

B. Training Webinars

Mr. Aden asked that the members complete at least one of the training webinars.

Alisa Edwards asked all member to email the information directly to her including the date the video was watched and the name of the video.

Discussion was had.

C. Local/National Landmark Designation Application Updates

Christopher Schoenig stated that he is still working on the updates for the documents, he says he and Director Ashley Scott will be reviewing the form and finalizing it in the upcoming weeks.

Mr. Schoenig is hoping to have something concrete for the next meeting.

Mr. Aden stated that Tom Bowen had called him and sent pictures of the Colonial. He says the cornice at the front of the building is leaking. He wanted to know if the board thinks it to be something they would file a complaint for, as he is concerned the capitals may begin to

deteriorate.

D. Landmark Complaints/Issues Updates

Mr. Farkas address the board showing (on screen) The New World System. He explained to the board that this system is a way to track every complaint that is provided to the City. He stated that all inspectors who visit a site, are required to enter notes about their findings. He also shared that the Building Department does take complaints seriously. Stating there is a lot of energy that goes into each complaint. He says currently there are only 2 staff members who are dedicated solely to working on complaints for the 54,000 residents though out the city. He says they receive a lot of complaints; none the less, they are treated the same.

Mr. Farkas goes on to state that the team makes sure each case it taken to the farthest extent; However, once it makes it to the Law Department or Municipal Court it is out of their hands. He says they do try to police each case to ensure it does not slip through the cracks. Although, he and his team does everything they can, some of the cases are dismissed at the court level.

He began to work down the list of open cases that have been presented by the Landmarks Committee as follows:

1. 409 West Avenue

Mr. Farkas showed the open and closed cases against the residence. This included dates and notes from the inspector. On September 24, 2025 the case was submitted to the Law Department. Once it went before the courts, it was dismissed.

The garage demolition came before Landmarks and was completed. Case Closed.

2. 409 Middle Avenue

Mr. Farkas showed that this address has 32 cases dating back to 2016. Discussion was had about the open cases and the inspectors notes. There was a Landmark approval to repair/restore entry door and sidelights to be rehung.

Mr. Farkas stated that the entry door has been installed; however, it is not certain if the door installed is per the qualifications and specs of Landmarks.

Discussion was had amongst the committee noting that the current door and sidelights are not approved by the board. Mr. Aden shared that he has spoken with Jeff Murphy the property manager who states the delay for installation of the sidelights is due to a hold up within the commission. Mr. Aden assured him that Landmarks is not holding up the process, however, the original door and sidelights need to be installed.

Mr. Farkas states that in light of the lack of approval the case was submitted to the courts for enforcement. Magistrate Darrel Bilancini dismissed the case on February 23, 2026.

Mr. Farkas says it is up to the board to decide if they would like to file a new complaint to have the case re-opened and started again.

Tom Aden stated that the committee would like the original door and sidelight put back in.

Mr. Farkas stated that he and his staff are willing to work with the board in hopes to get a desired outcome. He also encouraged the board members to be present at the hearings. He shared that once a complaint is filed with the Law Department he can provide a list of witnesses to be subpoenaed. He asked if anyone from the board would like to be on that list.

Tom Aden says we would like to be on the list.

Discussion was had discussing the court process.

Alisa Edwards informed the board of a conversation she has with the contractor which alluded to the original door being missing.

Matthew Swabb motioned to file another formal complaint on 409 Middle Ave, requesting that the original door and sidelight be repaired and installed.

William Bird 2nd the motion

All were in favor and the motion carries

Tom Aden asked the board if they would like to include the cornice in the complaint.

Darryl Farkas advised the board against including the cornice stating that he believes filing them together could allow for both items to be dismissed in court; however, if two complaints were filed he would have the option of addressing them separately in court.

3. 411 West Avenue

Mr. Farkas says this case is a roof replacement without Landmark's approval. The case was opened in May 2024 and is assigned to City Inspector Bobby Green. Mr. Green has this case marked as complied. Mr. Green was asked by Mr. Farkas why it is listed as complied, to which Mr. Green stated he could find no evidence of a repair. Mr. Green did leave a call card asking the resident to contact him so that he can gain access to the property, but has yet to hear back from the resident.

Mr. Farkas told the board if they would like to pursue this case, the Building Department will need to gain access via an adjacent neighbor or backyard in order to see it.

Matthew Swabb stated the project was a full replacement of the roof.

Tom Aden states he believes the committee approved the replacement of a roof.

Discussion was held, Darryl Farkas stated there was a permit in the system that is now expired. The board is in agreement to have this case closed.

4. 425 West Avenue

Matthew Swabb shared the complaint was for peeling paint and has been repaired by the new owner.

Mr. Green's notes states he sent a soft letter to address the complaint.

Mr. Farkas explained the process of a soft letter and finally stating that the necessary repairs have been completed.

The board is in agreement to have the case closed.

5. 417 West Avenue

Currently there is nine cases for the residence with the current case being a code violation for junk and debris. The case is still active with notes stating the owner did not comply. The case has been sent to the Law Department for approval of charges. Mr. Close's notes state that the gutters and windows have been repaired, but the resident is waiting for warmer weather to repair the chimney and roof.

The current case has been with the Law Department since last year. Mr. Farkas followed up with the Law Department and is waiting to hear back.

Matthew Swabb explained that the residents were in to receive Landmark approval for roof repair at the front of the house and they have begun work to remove debris.

Mr. Farkas assured the committee that the Building Department is still pursuing the case and it is still active. He says the Building Department will keep going until they receive a ruling from either the Law Department or the court.

Tom Aden asked if it would be helpful if someone from the community calls the Law Department.

Darryl Farkas say, "Mr. Chair through you... I wish you well!"

6. 401 West Avenue

This was discussed prior and is now approved by the Landmark Committee.

7. 317 5th Street

Carriage House with a broken window, the complaint was filed by the commission on August 12, 2026.

Mr. Farkas says that he does not have a complaint for this. The only case in the system is for high grass.

Alisa Edwards showed him an email.

Mr. Farkas, " I will follow up with my team to see what happened!"

8. 355 5th Street

Alisa Edwards recapped the notes from the previous meeting which stated that Tom Aden or Matthew Swabb were to take an application to the owner in an attempt to have the small roof structure over the side door repaired/replaced.

Neither Tom Aden or Matthew Swabb were able to meet with the owner and will follow-up in the near future.

Mr. Farkas stated that the Building Department does not have any complaint for this residence.

Discussion was had with the board deciding to table the matter until next meeting.

9. 339 5th Street

Mr. Farkas shared that the Building Department currently has 2 cases for this property. One of the cases is zoning related and Mr. Green's notes states the resident is not in compliance. The second case filed is for junk and debris and it remains active. The Case is now referred to the Law Department and will be heard in court on October 5, 2026.

Discussion was had with Christopher Schoenig detailing the type of debris.

Tom Aden asked, "What type of things are labeled junk and debris?"

Darryl Farkas replied, "Tires, paper, waste, ladders, construction materials, pallets, tarps, jet skis, firewood improperly stacked or an overflowing garbage can."

Discussion was had and several board members thanked Mr. Farkas for his time. William Bird stated that it was informative to see the process the Building Department goes through.

Mark Craig shared that he and the Mayor met with the Building Department to discuss processes and decided there was an issue when filing charges. They were able to meet with the Judges to emphasize the importance of these cases. In turn the courts did hire an additional Magistrate whose focus is the housing court.

More discussion was had.

New Business

A. Sandborn Maps of 323 Middle Ave

Christopher Schoenig states the maps are in reference to Dicken, Dovin & Reber Jones Funeral Home with a proposal of a lot split. Originally, they were wanting to pursue demolition; however, demolition is no longer being pursued and they are hoping to do a lot split. The lot split allows them to avoid demolition and appease the code requirements for the Building Code and variances.

Tom Aden questioned if the applicant would take the house and garage in one lot.

Christopher Schoenig confirmed the garage and the carriage house would remain with the parking lot

which will be consolidated with the property for the funeral home. The single-family home will be on a separate parcel.

Tom Aden asked when or if it goes through planning, would the committee be informed of the dimensions.

Christopher Schoenig stated it would not go through Planning as it would be a minor sub-division and is lonely a split of one or two. Anything that is five or less is deemed minor sub-division and would be administratively approved through the City Planner.

Mr. Schoenig goes on to state the applicant will need clearances for the backyard. The next meeting will Be held October 15, 2026 at 3:00 p.m. I Council Chambers.

Tom Aden asked if the applicant would be on that agenda.

Mr. Schoenig stated it is possible if all other documents are completed before then it is possible.

Discussion was had.

B. Resignation of Sheila Massimiani

Mark Craig informed the board that Sheila Massimiani had resigned. He stated the Mayor typically does appointments; however, he is open for suggestions to fill the vacancy.

Tom Aden mentioned Steve McQuillin

William Bird informed the board that Mr. McQuillin is not a resident of the City of Elyria.

Tom Aden shared that he has spoken with Mr. McQuillin and he would be interested in serving on the Landmark Committee.

Matthew Swabb shared that he has asked the Mayor in the past to post vacancies on Facebook or the City's Website to attract other historians within the city.

Mark Craig stated that the appointment would only cover the remained of Sheila Massimiani's term. Mr. Craig says the applicant will need to be a qualified elector of The City of Elyria.

Discussion was had and Mr. Craig stated that he would ask the Mayor about posting for the vacancy.

William Bird says Mr. McQuillin is extremely qualified, but he is currently constructing the property at the corner of W. 3rd Street.

Mr. Farkas informed the committee of the progress of the W. 3rd Street project at the prompting of Mark Craig. Currently, the contractors only have permission to build up without concealing any electrical panels or anything until the Building Director has received proper documents.

Mr. Farkas goes on to state this is a very complex project which requires adequate life safety features.

More discussion was had discovering that there has been at least 8 variations proposed for this project.

Audience Participation

No audience was present... No participation

Staff and Commission Comments

None

Adjournment

William Bird motioned to adjourn

William Bulick 2nd the motion

All were in favor, motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 6:56 P.M.

Respectfully Submitted



Alisa Edwards

Revised 9/30/2026 aie