1	1		
2	CITY OF ELYRIA PLANNING COMMISSION	1	Any further discussion?
3	Meeting, held at Elyria City Hall, 131 Court Street,	2	All in favor, aye.
4	2nd Floor Council Chambers, Elyria, Ohio, on Tuesday,	3	MR. ADEN: Aye.
5	April 1, 2025 at 11:00 a.m.	4	MR. BATTLE: Aye.
6 7	PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:	5	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
8	Mayor Brubaker Chairman	6	MR. PYANOWSKI: Aye.
9	Tom Aden Member	7	MR. TEDROW: Aye.
0	Sam Battle Member	8	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Aye.
1	Barry Hubbard Member Chris Pyanowski Member	9	Opposed, nay.
3	Derrick Tedrow Member	10	Motion carried.
4		11	There is no new business and there is no old
5	ALSO PRESENT:	12	business.
6	Mark Craig Administrative Legal	13	We'll go right to public hearings.
7	Counsel	14	Just for point of reference, Item No. 4 on
•	Darryl Farkas Chief Building Official	15	today's agenda, which may not be on the revised
8		16	agenda, it was Public Hearing 2025-100029,
	Chris Schoenig City Planner		
9	William Gall Assistant Fire Chief	17	Jeanette's Sanctuary Foundation at 38780 Chestnut
0	William Gall Assistant Fire Chief	18	Ridge Road, has been removed from the agenda per
	John Schneider Assistant City Engineer	19	the proponent's request. So we will not be
1		20	discussing that item here today.
	Mary Tomski Secretary	21	With that being said, the next item on the
2		22	agenda is Public Hearing 2025-100026: University
23		23	Hospitals Elyria Medical Center, 620 East River
4		24	Street, Elyria, Ohio 44035, requesting for a
5		25	rezone from R-TH (Residential-Two Household) to
	2		4
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	B-G (Business General).
2	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Good afternoon. We are now	2	Is the proponent here?
3	live on the YouTube channel. I'm going to call	3	Please come to the microphone and state your
4	the meeting of the Elyria Planning Commission for	4	name and address for the record, please.
5	Tuesday, April 1st, 2025 to order.	5	MR. GANTT: Hi, there. Good morning. My nam
6	Roll call.	6	is Gordan Gantt. I'm counsel for University
7	Mr. Aden.	7	Hospitals Elyria Medical Center, which is located
8	MR. ADEN: Present.	8	at 630 East River Street. And the adjoining
9	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Battle.	9	parcel, 620 East River Street, is the parcel that
0	MR. BATTLE: Present.	10	I know we're addressing first here.
1	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Hubbard.	11	The request today for both parcels is
2	MR. HUBBARD: Here.	12	hopefully a relatively simple one. We're looking
3	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Onderko.	13	to rezone to align with the actual current use.
4	Director Pyanowski.	14	It appears that somewhere along the way in the
5	MR. PYANOWSKI: Here.	15	history, we're not sure exactly where, the
6	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mayor Brubaker; here.	16	properties were left zoned Residential-Two
7	Mr. Tedrow.	17	
8			Household, which obviously aligns with the
	MR. TEDROW: Here.	18	surrounding neighborhood, but doesn't align with
9	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Okay. I'll entertain a	19	the actual use of presently the two parcels are
0	motion to approve the minutes as distributed for	20	nonconforming.
1	March 4, 2025.	21	The parcels include both the main hospital
2	MR. ADEN: So moved.	22	acute care facility, the main campus, as well as
23	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Aden.	23	the old McKinley school, which was acquired by the
4	MR. BATTLE: Second.	24	hospital recently and is currently in the planning
25			

- the hospital. 1
- 2 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great. Thank you.
- 3 We're going to go to staff reports.
- Mr. Farkas. 4
- MR. FARKAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, through 5
- 6 you.
- The applicant is requesting the properties 7
- located at 620 East River Street, Parcel No. 8
- 0625074101001, and 630 East River Street, Parcel 9
- No. 0625075101017, be rezoned from a 10
- 11 Residential-Two Household District to a
- 12 Business-General District.
- The applicant is seeking a rezoning of this 13
- property to align with the current use of the 14
- site, a hospital use as defined in Section 1125.42 15
- of the Codified Ordinance. 16
- 17 I've listed for the Board's use the
- 18 requirements for an R-TH District currently and
- the proposed Business-General District which 19
- defines the uses that are permitted. 20
- 21 My recommendations are as follows: The
- proposal for 630 East River aligns with the 22
- 23 current use of the actual site hospital and will
- 24 correct the current nonconforming status of this
- property. 25

5

- 1 The proposal of 620 East River is also in
- conformance with the current zoning code as a 2
- former school educational use building on the site 3
- 4 is permitted outright in a B-G District per
- Section 1160.02(J). 5
- 6 In closing, I'm in favor of the request for
- the zoning. 7
- The current zoning of the site was one of 8
- 9 those transitions that were made many years ago
- 10 and we're in the same boat now with our zoning
- rewrite of how to fix that and better align. 11
- This will correct a nonconforming status that 12
- has been long-standing for many years, so thank 13
- 14 you.
- 15 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Farkas.
- 16 Mr. Schoenig, anything to add?
- 17 MR. SCHOENIG: Nothing to add on my end.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Schneider, Engineering.
- 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no comments.
- 21 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Fire Chief Gall.
- MR. GALL: Fire Department has no comment. 22
- 23 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Any questions from the
- 24 Committee?
- 25 I do have one. Mr. Schoenig or Mr. Farkas, if

I'm not mistaken, this was also being looked at as

7

8

- a part of our entire rezoning package across the
- City; correct? And as a part of that package.
- this likely would have -- would be a part of the 4
- rezoning as we move forward; is that correct? 5
- 6 MR. SCHOENIG: Yes, that is correct. The
- 7 proposed zoning for this property is commercial
- and emergency and medical services would be 8
- 9 permitted outright.

10

- MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great. Thank you.
- 11 Are there any other questions from the
- 12 Committee before we go to proponents or opponents?
 - MR. PYANOWSKI: I have one guestion.
- MAYOR BRUBAKER: Director Pyanowski. 14
- MR. PYANOWSKI: Thank you. 15
- 16 For the proponent: I just want to make
- 17 sure -- because you have two applications here,
- 18 and I know we'll get to the next one after we get
- through the first one, but I want to make sure 19
- 20 that I've got this right because there's three
- 21 parcels referenced between the two of them -- and
- this might become more relevant with the next 22
- 23 application -- but this one is only addressing the
- 24 one parcel, this particular application,
- 0625074101001, is that correct, this first 25
- application we're discussing? 1
 - 2
 - MR. GANTT: That is correct, yes.
 - 3 MR. PYANOWSKI: All right. I just want to
 - 4 make sure, for the record, that we keep track of
 - which one's part of what because the other two
 - must be part of the other application. I just 6
 - want to clarify that. 7
 - MR. GANTT: And if I can clarify one point 8
 - 9 about that. There's three parcels that are
 - 10 referenced. One is a eastern surface parking lot
 - 11 that we're actually tabling for right now. We're
 - going to be coming back for a zoning request.
 - 13 That parcel, as it is, is actually seven separate
 - parcels even though it is practically one combined 14
 - 15 surface lot.
 - 16 So we're evaluating a combination of those
 - parcels in the future, and then we'll come back 17
 - and request rezone through one application for 18
 - 19 that after they're combined, if that's --
 - 20 MR. PYANOWSKI: Very good. That resolves my
 - 21 concern that I saw forthcoming because I didn't
 - 22 see that as part of an application, per se,
 - 23 although it was mentioned.
 - 24 MR. GANTT: Yep.
 - MR. PYANOWSKI: So that addresses my concern 25

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Engineer Schneider.

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Assistant Chief Gall.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no comments.

23

24

25

23

24

25

that is.

MR. GANTT: Thank you so much.

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you.

- 1 Next item on the agenda is Public Hearing
- 2 2025-100028: Ridge Water Development, 101
- 3 Brunswick Drive, a request for a variance from
- 4 Section 1179.04 of the Elyria Codified Ordinances
- 5 for 7.2 units per acre as opposed to the required
- 6 5 units per acre.
- 7 Is the proponent here?
- 8 Mr. Yost.
- 9 MR. YOST: Bob Yost, 260 South Logan; Ridge
- 10 Water Development.
- 11 We previously had this -- at least I thought
- 12 got approved by Planning Commission last -- two
- 13 months -- two meetings ago, but we did not
- 14 actually apply for the variance on the density.
- 15 So Darryl and I communicated in regards to
- 16 that, and we agreed to come back and ask for that
- 17 as a separate line item.
- 18 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great. Thank you.
- 19 Staff reports.
- 20 Mr. Farkas.
- 21 MR. FARKAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, through
- 22 you.
- 23 The applicant is requesting a variance for
- 24 unit lot density for the new subdivision located
- 25 on Brunswick Drive and West Ridge Road to
- 14
- 1 construct single family cluster-type homes
- 2 consisting of four to six family residences per
- 3 cluster. The project has a total of 50
- 4 residential units proposed.
- 5 My findings: The subdivision is located in a
- 6 Residential-Medium Density District, the
- 7 requirements of which can be found in the Codified
- 8 Ordinance, Section 1144.
- 9 On 10/24/24, a cluster development was
- 10 approved via conditional use process, PC Case
- 11 No. 2024-100150.
- 12 The site consists of 6.93 acres of which is
- 13 permitted to be covered with five dwelling units
- 14 per acre. With the acreage available, the total
- 15 of 35 single family units would be permitted. The
- 16 applicant is requesting to install 50 units
- 17 triggering the need for a variance.
- 18 Staff recommendations: I recommend the
- 19 petition for the variance be approved as it
- 20 coincides with past subdivision submittals of a
- 21 similar request. Thank you.
- 22 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Farkas.
- 23 Mr. Schoenig.
- 24 MR. SCHOENIG: No further comments.
- 25 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Engineer Schneider.

- MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no comments.
- 2 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Assistant Fire Chief Gall.
- 3 MR. GALL: Fire Department has no comment.
- 4 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Any questions from the
- 5 Committee?

- 6 Director Pyanowski.
- 7 MR. PYANOWSKI: So I have a comment, and I
- 8 guess it's directed towards Mr. Farkas more so.
- 9 When I looked at this, one of the guestions I had
- 10 is what did we talk about last time and how did
- 11 this not -- you know, how we're not covering it,
- 12 so I appreciate the explanation from Mr. Yost.
- 13 But in the report from Mr. Farkas, it talks
- 14 about how it coincides with past subdivision
- 15 submittals, so he's in favor of it, and I can
- 16 appreciate that. I would just ask that any time
- 17 we have a request like this, we always evaluate it
- 18 on a case-by-case basis and let's not fall too far
- 19 down a path of there's a precedent of we've done
- no the face of late best de the color late of the late
- 20 it before, so let's just do it again. We should
- 21 always be looking at whether it's appropriate for
- 22 the space that's there.
- So I would just ask that we always give that a
- 24 fair evaluation, because this is a deviation from
- 25 the rules, which I think we all recognize, which
- 1 is why we're here, but I -- you know, I -- I have
 - 2 a sensitivity to the density issue of some of
 - 3 these developments, and so I -- I'd like to make
 - 4 sure that we always -- or ask that we always
 - 5 evaluate them and give them a fair review on a
 - 6 case-by-case basis. That's all.
 - 7 And I'm certain you do, I just -- I just
 - 8 wanted a point to mention as we see these more and
 - 9 more.
 - MR. FARKAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, through you
 - 11 to Chris.
 - 12 As I review these, I do take in consideration
 - 13 locations. As the proposed subdivision does abut
 - 14 two streets, it doesn't affect -- or adversely
 - 15 affect many adjacent parcels and there is some
 - 16 farm land behind, so that was in consideration.
 - 17 Thank you.
 - 18 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Farkas.
 - 19 Are there any proponents?
 - 20 Proponent or opponent?
 - 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm a proponent.
 - 22 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Would you like to speak?
 - 23 AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shaking head no.)
 - 24 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Okay. Great.
 - Are there any other proponents?

25

- 1 Are there any opponents? Are there any
- 2 opponents? Are there any opponents?
- 3 Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion for
- 4 2025-100028, Ridge Water Development, 101
- 5 Brunswick Drive, request for a variance from
- 6 Section 1179.04 of the Elyria Codified Ordinances
- 7 for 7.2 units per acre as opposed to the required
- 8 5 units per acre.
- 9 Do I have a motion?
- MR. PYANOWSKI: So moved.
- 11 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Pyanowski.
- 12 Do I have a second?
- 13 MR. TEDROW: Yes.
- 14 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Second by Mr. Tedrow.
- 15 All in favor, signify by aye.
- 16 MR. ADEN: Aye.
- 17 MR. BATTLE: Aye.
- 18 MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
- 19 MR. PYANOWSKI: Aye.
- 20 MR. TEDROW: Aye.
- 21 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Aye.
- 22 Opposed, nay.
- 23 Motioned carried.
- 24 Mr. Yost, you know how it works, you'll be
- 25 back here at Community Development.
- 18

- 1 MR. YOST: Thank you.
- 2 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Next item on the agenda is
- 3 Public Hearing 2025-100030: SGTAYLOR, LLC of 910
- 4 Taylor Street, Unit C, Elyria, Ohio 44035, a
- 5 request for a conditional use for personal
- 6 services.
- 7 is there a proponent?
- 8 Come forward, please.
- 9 MR. MOEN: Good morning.
- 10 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Please state your name and
- 11 address for the record.
- 12 MR. MOEN: Jeremy Moen. The address of the
- 13 facility, 910 Taylor Street, Unit C, Elyria, Ohio
- 14 44035.
- 15 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Okay. And please share what
- 16 you're looking for today.
- 17 MR. MOEN: So just a conditional variance to
- 18 be allowed to have clients meet me at my facility
- 19 so that I'm able to have recording sessions, just
- 20 video and audio.
- 21 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Okay.
- 22 Staff reports.
- 23 Mr. Farkas.
- MR. FARKAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, through
- **25** you. 5 of 13 sheets

- 1 The proponent is requesting a conditional use
- 2 to accommodate an office that will cater to
- 3 recording sessions, take videos, showcase services
- 4 for clients, and promote on social media.
- 5 Note: The applicant's submittal includes a
- 6 request of the following: Change light fixtures,
- 7 one door, installation of a shelf. The items will
- 8 be addressed under separate submittal via the 2024
- 9 Ohio Building Code plan approval process in
- 10 response to the issuance of a cease and desist
- 11 order issued 3/3/25.
- 12 Zoning: The site is currently zoned
- 13 Heavy-Industrial District, of which I listed the
- 14 requirements. I've listed for the Board the
- 15 principally permitted uses and one under the
- 16 conditionally permitted uses is personal services,
- 17 which this will align with.
- 18 My findings: The proposed business best fits
- 19 a personal service use for the Elyria Codified
- 20 Ordinances definition Section 1125.72 listed
- 21 herein. This is contingent the site is not
- 22 utilized as a research and development,
- 23 restaurant, tavern, lounge, or other similar use
- 24 as defined in the Codified Ordinance as those uses
- 25 are not permitted as a permitted accessory or
- 10
- 1 conditionally permitted use and, therein, is
- 2 prohibited per Section 1123.05.
- 3 The proposed use is acceptable as a
- 4 conditionally permitted use per Elyria Codified
- 5 Ordinance Section 1164.04(D) and best fits the
- 6 definition listed herein.
- 7 My findings: The current site plan provided
- 8 for the property is missing a detailed parking lot
- 9 drawing to scale dimensionalized of which
- 10 delineates the parking stalls, parking stall
- 11 count, stall orientation with curb, aisles, et
- 12 cetera, and in the request, if approved, must be
- 13 provided as to assure the personal service use
- 14 aspect conforms to the codified parking
- 15 requirements.
- 16 The above information listed herein is for the
- 17 Board's use and consideration as the Board must
- 18 weigh the requirements currently established in
- 19 the preexisting surrounding Heavy-Industrial
- 20 District in regards to the uses permitted.
- 21 As the current site and building has multiple
- 22 purposed mixed storage and manufacturing uses and
- 23 abuts other commercial businesses, if the
- 24 conditional use is approved, the applicant must
- 25 apply for a plan approval via the 2024 Ohio

- 1 Building Code application submittal process,
- 2 provide drawings and obtain an approval permit and
- 3 have the site inspected to receive a certificate
- 4 of occupancy prior to use as this request will not
- 5 accomplish that goal. Thank you.
- 6 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Farkas.
- 7 Mr. Schoenig.
- 8 MR. SCHOENIG: No further comments.
- 9 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Engineer Schneider.
- MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no comments.
- 11 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Assistant Fire Chief Gall.
- MR. GALL: The Fire Department has no comment
- 13 at this time.
- 14 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great.
- 15 Jeremy -- Mr. Moen, if you'd come back up to
- 16 the mic and kind of share for the Committee -- I
- 17 mean, we've met a couple of times, and I
- 18 understand what you're trying to do here, but for
- 19 the benefit of the Commission, can you kind of
- 20 share what your business is, what you're looking
- 21 to do, give some detail to that so they have a
- 22 better understanding, please?
- 23 MR. MOEN: Absolutely. So I'm in the
- 24 entertainment business. I'm what's called a
- 25 mobile deejay service. I provide sound
- 22
- 1 reinforcement, speakers, entertainments, and so on
- 2 for large-scale events, weddings. Also we provide
- 3 entertainment at bars; trivia nights, karaoke
- 4 nights, things of that nature. We have about
- 5 eight employees, and we do about 25 to 30 shows
- 6 per week throughout Lorain and Cuyahoga County
- 7 areas.
- 8 The other thing we're looking to do is --
- 9 within this facility is to be able to have our
- 10 clients meet us here -- we meet with a lot of
- 11 people for weddings and things -- to have a place
- 12 to sit down and meet and showcase the services we
- 13 do have, you know, here is our -- kind of like a
- 14 mini showroom; here's the lights that we use.
- 15 here's the speakers that we use, here's what we
- 16 can use for your facility.
- 17 Additionally, we have rooms that are set up
- 18 for recording, so we do a lot of online recording
- 19 for Facebook, Instagram. We are monetized online.
- 20 We make money by producing content. We are
 - 1 content producers. So we have rooms that are set
- 22 up for pictures, cameras, things where we can take
- 23 videos and post them online.
- 24 Additionally, we are looking to create a
- 25 recording studio where we can bring in -- since

- 1 it's Heavy-Industrial, we can make noise, and it
- 2 has great acoustics in the building to be able to
- 3 record instruments and music, mix them, and
- 4 provide recordings for local artists.
- 5 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great. Thank you.
- 6 Can you describe for the Commission, too,
- 7 where this building is on Taylor Street?
- 8 MR. MOEN: Yes. So we're very close to the
- 9 corner of Abbe and Taylor. If you're familiar
- 10 with the Hands On car wash building, it's the
- 11 backside of that building. It's right across from
- 12 wherever that dump kind of thing is on the corner.
- 13 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Okay.
- MR. MOEN: I'm not sure what that building is,
- 15 but lots of people come and go every day.
- 16 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Jeremy.
- 17 Are there any questions from the Commission?
 - Director Pyanowski.
- 19 MR. PYANOWSKI: For Mr. Farkas: Your report
- 20 indicates it's -- the site plan is basically
- 21 insufficient, the one that's currently submitted,
- 22 so I have two questions:
- 23 First, is this something that we can move
- 24 forward with without having an updated site plan,
- 25 number 1?

18

- 1 And number 2, if the answer is yes to that,
- 2 will an updated site plan be part of the next step
- 3 of the process, which is when he applies for plan
- 4 approval, will that be required there and may be
- 5 satisfactory then?
- 6 MR. FARKAS: Mr. Chair, through you.
- 7 The Board could continue, as once a site plan
- 8 is received, it may trigger separate variances
- 9 depending on the parking count because of the
- 10 change in the use of that district to a personal
- 11 services.
- 12 So from that aspect, if it were submitted and
 - 3 clearly delineated, it may trigger something else
- 14 that could be heard at maybe the Board of Zoning
- 15 Appeals.
- 16 Hopefully that answers the question.
- 17 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you.
- 18 Any other questions from the Commission?
- 19 Mr. Farkas, I do have a question. So this is
- 20 really just one step to where he ultimately would
- 21 like to get to; is that correct?
- 22 MR. FARKAS: Yes, sir. This is a first step
- 23 in regards to getting through the zoning process,
- 24 then the building code process takes over.
 - MAYOR BRUBAKER: And, Mr. Moen, you fully

28

- 1 understand that; correct?
- 2 MR. MOEN: I'm aware, yes.
- 3 MR. TEDROW: I had a question, too, Jeremy.
- 4 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Tedrow.
- 5 MR. TEDROW: So if we get through this today
- 6 and get you approved on what you're trying to
- 7 accomplish, he had a list of things that are going
- 8 to be upcoming.
- 9 MR. MOEN: Correct.
- MR. TEDROW: Do you feel like you can meet
- 11 every one of those criteria moving forward?
- 12 MR. MOEN: Yes, I've already met with the
- 13 Mayor and Mr. Farkas and Mr. Schoenig and we've
- 14 discussed a plan. I understand what the next
- 15 steps are, what I need to do.
- 16 MR. TEDROW: Okay. All right.
- 17 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Any other questions from the
- 18 Committee?
- 19 Are there any other proponents? Are there any
- 20 proponents? Are there any proponents?
- 21 Are there any opponents? Are there any
- 22 opponents? Are there any opponents?
- 23 Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion to
- 24 approve 2025-100030, SGTAYLOR, LLC, 910 Taylor
- 25 Street, Unit C, Elyria, Ohio 44035, a request for
- 1 a conditional use for personal services.
- 2 Do I have a motion?
- 3 MR. ADEN: So moved.
- 4 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Aden.
- 5 Do I have a second?
- 6 MR. TEDROW: Second.
- 7 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Second by Mr. Tedrow.
- 8 Any further discussion?
- 9 All in favor, signify aye.
- 10 MR. ADEN: Aye.
- 11 MR. BATTLE: Aye.
- 12 MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
- 13 MR. PYANOWSKI: Aye.
- 14 MR. TEDROW: Aye.
- 15 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Aye.
- 16 Opposed, nay.
- 17 Motion carried.
- 18 Thank you, Mr. Moen.
- 19 MR. MOEN: Thank you.
- 20 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Next item on the agenda is
- 21 Public Hearing 2025-100031: Rodney Hilton, Xpress
- 22 Asphalt Solutions, 131 Williams Street, requesting
- 23 a rezone from R-TH (Residential-Two Household) to
- 24 L-I (Light Industrial).
- 25 is the proponent here?

- 1 Please state your name and address for the
- 2 record, please.
- 3 MR. MILLER: My name is Justin Miller.
- 4 Address is 409 East Avenue, 2nd Floor. I'm
- 5 counsel for Rodney Hilton, Xpress Asphalt. The
- 6 location is 131 Williams Avenue, Elyria, Ohio.
- 7 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you. Please state your
- 8 request.
- 9 MR. MILLER: Well, the request is to rezone
- 10 six of the total parcels that the owner currently
- 11 owns from R-TH to L-I. This is the sites that was
- 12 formally the Bluestar Recycling facility.
- 13 During some point, the six southern parcels
- 14 ending in 006 through 011 were rezoned to
- 15 residential. There are existing structures on the
- 16 parcels 006 through 010 with a vacant area on
- 17 parcel 011. There is a residential structure
- 18 south of that on 012.
- 19 We were asking to have those six parcels, 006
- 20 through 011, rezoned to allow for improvements to
- 21 the property. There is no intention of having any
- 22 chemicals or noxious items stored. The intent is
- 23 entirely to have offices, make improvements,
- 24 update some of the issues, including fire
- 25 suppression, and to store my client's asphalt
- 1 equipment and vehicles. But they would be
 - 2 retrieving the actual supplies from a third party,
 - 3 so there would be no storage of any other items or
 - 4 chemicals on the property, which is much different
 - 5 than the previous owner, which was the metal
 - 6 recycling center which ended up being somewhat of
 - 7 a nuisance to everybody else.
 - There are three different categories in the 12
 - 9 parcels owned by Xpress Asphalt. Five of them are
 - 10 L-I, one is H-I, and the six that we are here for
 - 11 are R-TH. So we're asking to rezone those six to
 - 12 allow for some uniformity between the parcels, to
 - 13 have them returned back to a previous state, and
 - 44 4-----
 - 14 to conform with the structures that have been
 - 15 there for quite some time.
 - 16 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Great. Thank you.
 - 17 Staff reports.
 - 18 Mr. Farkas.
 - 19 MR. FARKAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, through
 - **20** you.

- 21 The proponent is requesting the site --
- 22 multiple sites be rezoned, which I have listed
- 23 herein. Some of the parcels are zoned
- 24 Residential-Two Household District; others are
- 25 Heavy-Industrial. The site is intended to house

- commercial asphalt vehicles and office space for 1
- the company. This applicant indicates no 2
- 3 hazardous or noxious chemicals or substances will
- be housed on the property. 4

6

11

17

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

therein.

I've listed the current zoning classification of the parcels in question for the Board's use.

7 Would also like to comment a typo on the 8 second one from the bottom. Instead of 069, that 9 parcel should be 068, just for the Board's use.

I have also listed on Page 2 of the report the 10 requirements of the current Residential-Two 12 Household District. I've listed the requirements 13 of the current Heavy-Industrial District. I 14 furthermore listed the requirements of the Light-Industrial District what goes down all the 15 permitted uses and the requirements listed 16

On Page 3 of my report, I have listed my 18 future concerns with this review. In a past 19 meeting with the applicant, the topic of potential 20 21 variances being required were discussed. If the site was rezoned to Light-Industrial, it would 22 23 evoke multiple variances being required due to the close proximity of the sites, the existing 24

abutting residential districts not affecting this

1 particular request.

This will require a separate submittal of an 2 accurate site plan listing the current setback of

the existing structures from the site property 4

lines. It was also mentioned that a re-plat

consolidation would be needed as the current 6

7 structure to the site cross over many existing

parcels and sublots of record. 8

This would assure that one new parcel address to the property is assigned and the new zoning classification, if approved. This process can be completed after the rezoning process is approved via City Council.

Recommendations: Per the information provided and past discussions with the applicant, the 15 proposed use is specific to storage of equipment

16 and use of the office space. Also, the site will 17

18 not have asphalt materials, tars, substances,

materials processing, et cetera, as that was 19

indicated to best fit a Heavy-Industrial use or 20

21 Special-Industrial use via the conditional use

22 process. This process would also evoke a

stringent setback due to the abutting residential 23

districts if pursued. 24

With the new information on hand, the proposed

1 rezoning is a moderate transition to the current

2 location as it would abut some existing

Heavy-Industrial and Light-Industrial Districts

even though the neighborhood is primarily

residential/nonconforming. 5

The above information listed is for the Board's use and consideration as the Board must

weight the requirements of the currently existing

9 and the preestablished residential district in

regards to the limited uses permitted as the 10

11 zoning change, if approved, would allow any

permitted use in the Light-Industrial District in 12

the future. 13

6

7

14

15

16

17

30

In closing, I support the change, but caution the Board will have no control to limit the permitted uses indicated in the Light-Industrial, if approved.

18 As a brief history of this site, as many recall, the site was proposed many years ago to be 19 20 changed to Heavy-Industrial. It was going to be a

high-end auto salvage recycling, which was not 21

conducive to the area in question. This request 22

is a lesser of the concerns and would better fit 23

the area. Thank you. 24

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Farkas.

25 32

1 Mr. Schoenia.

> 2 MR. SCHOENIG: No further comments.

3 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Engineer Schneider.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no report. 4

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Assistant Fire Chief Gall. 5

6 MR. GALL: Fire Department has no comment.

MAYOR BRUBAKER: Questions from the 7

Commission? 8

9 Mr. Aden.

MR. ADEN: So what's -- if the zoning was 10 changed to Light-Industrial, what is permitted in 11 12 that?

13 MR. FARKAS: Mr. Chair, through you to Mr. Aden. 14

On Page 2 of the report, I've listed the 15 permitted uses of the Light-Industrial, and I'll 16 17 read them as follows:

Automotive repair and services, building 18 material related trades, cellular communication 19 sites, contract construction services, light 20

manufacturing and storage, lock-and-store 21

22 warehouses, all permit signs, printing and

23 publishing, public utility yards, research and

development laboratories, truck terminals, 24 25

warehousing, wholesale business, call centers, and

- 1 any other use determined by the building inspector
- 2 to be of the same general characteristic.
- 3 MR. ADEN: Thank you.
- 4 And I have one more question, may I ask?
- 5 So I thought we were going to talk about the
- 6 zoning today so I was busy trying to get
- 7 everything copied, so I rushed out and left all my
- 8 paperwork for this. So I apologize for that.
- 9 And so to look at the map, the R-Two District,
- 10 is that all north of like Buckeye Street?
- MR. FARKAS: Mr. Chair, through you.
- 12 The Buckeye Street is a mix of
- 13 Light-Industrial. You have -- if you're on
- 14 Williams Street, there's three parcels that are on
- 15 the corner of Williams and Buckeye, and then it
- 16 immediately turns to R-TH. And across the street
- 17 is identical.
- 18 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Farkas, Mr. Aden is
- 19 looking at the topographical map that you sent.
- 20 Can you kind of walk him through where it's
- 21 highlighted in yellow?
- 22 MR. FARKAS: This?
- 23 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Yes, that one.
- 24 MR. FARKAS: Mr. Aden, if you look at the map,
- at the intersection of Buckeye and Williams, you
- 34
- 1 can see on the right-hand side, the parcels in
- 2 question labeled A, B, C, D, E, and F, those are
- 3 the parcels in question for rezone that are
- 4 currently R-TH.
- 5 Further south, we have a mix of R-TH,
- 6 Business-General. And then to the east off, I
- 7 think it's Sumner, is H-I.
- 8 Across the street to the west on Williams
- 9 Street, we have Light-Industrial,
- 10 Light-Industrial, Light-Industrial,
- 11 Light-Industrial, and then R-TH. Those existing
- 12 residential homes are nonconforming. They were
- 13 plugged in years ago. They're just grandfathered
- 14 in.
- 15 Trying to fix this zoning, this will be more
- 16 in line with the area in question. I hope that
- 17 helps.
- 18 MR. ADEN: One other question, if I may.
- 19 Sorry.
- 20 What's the requirement for setbacks when it's
- 21 Light-Industrial that's meeting a nonconforming
- 22 residential district?
- 23 MR. FARKAS: The requirements for setbacks,
- 24 any time it abuts a residential district, is 50
- **25** feet. 9 of 13 sheets

- 1 MR. ADEN: 50?
- 2 MR. FARKAS: 50 feet. And that's why the need
- 3 for an accurate site plan is needed. As the
- 4 previous case, they're going to have to seek
- 5 variances guaranteed out of one area. I'm not
- 6 sure on the others because the Lorain County map
- 7 measuring tool gives you a plus or minus. And I
- 8 tried to do that to help them out, but I will need
- 9 an accurate site plan triggering variances.
- 10 MR. ADEN: Thank you.
- 11 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Mr. Aden.
- 12 Any other questions from the Commission?
- 13 Director Pyanowski.
- 14 MR. PYANOWSKI: Thank you.
- 15 Mr. Farkas, my concern was kind of touched on
- 16 with that last question by Mr. Aden, you know,
- 17 we're moving, if we approve this, some
- 18 Residential-Two Household, you know, zoning here,
- 19 and that provides a buffer space between the
- 20 residential properties that are being resided in
- 21 and just where this property is going to be
- 22 operating. So, you know, that setback of 50 feet
- 23 is something.
 - In the packet, it indicated or suggested that
- 25 the surrounding property owners were notified. I

- think that's part of this process. I don't see
- 2 any of them here today because I'm familiar with
- 3 who's in the crowd, but have we heard from
- 4 anybody? Have we gotten any feedback or concerns
- 5 expressed?
- 6 MR. FARKAS: Mr. Chair, through you.
- 7 I have heard nothing, but I'll defer to the
- 8 Planning Commission Secretary.
- 9 MS. TOMSKI: I was out of the office on
- 10 vacation last week, but going through my e-mails
- 11 and my voicemails, I did not hear from anybody.
- 12 MR. PYANOWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
- 13 MAYOR BRUBAKER: Any other questions from the
- 14 Commission?
- 15 Okay. Are there any other proponents? Are
- 6 there any proponents? Are there any proponents?
- 17 Any opponents? Any opponents? Any opponents?
- 18 Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion to
- 19 approve 2025-100031, Rodney Hilton, Xpress Asphalt
- 20 Solutions, 131 Williams Street, request to rezone
- 21 from a R-TH (Residential-Two Household) to L-I
- 22 (Light Industrial).

- 23 Do I have a motion?
- 24 MR. TEDROW: Moved.
 - MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Tedrow.

adult use cannabis facilities to be co-located

a prerequisite to facilities gaining licensure.

approval. So that would be an additional

with medical marijuana facilities. That would be

would be to have medical marijuana conditional use

requirement. So it would be in the same types of

20

21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

25

license for both?

for the other if the state has issued you a

that's a good question, and I guess we'll still

MR. BREUNIG: No. If the state issues --

work out those kinks, and that will probably be a

procedural issue that you guys will have to deal

- 1 with more often than I'll have to deal with, but
- 2 my interpretation of that would be you could apply
- 3 for both simultaneously.

4 Now, I will say that I neglected to mention in

- 5 my initial presentation I apologize for this --
- 6 that per Council's request when we initially
- 7 presented this to them -- and this is all, you
- 8 know, based on Council members direction, by the
- 9 way. We put this together based on input from
- 10 them at a public meeting that occurred last year
- 11 in 2024. But this would be limited in the City to
- 12 two adult use cannabis permits at any one time.
- 13 So we have a cap on those.
- 14 So I have two answers to your question,
 - Mr. Pyanowski. One is for future, you know, uses,
- 16 assuming we haven't hit that cap, that two-use
- 17 cap -- and right now we just have one, I believe.
- 18 so we could have one extra one -- future ones
- 19 could apply for both at the same time.
- 20 MAYOR BRUBAKER: I think we currently have two
- 21 facilities.

15

- MR. BREUNIG: We do. We do. And I was just
- 23 corrected and I apologize.
- 24 MAYOR BRUBAKER: That's fine.
- 25 MR. PYANOWSKI: My follow-up question was
 - 42
- 1 actually going to be a statement and then a
- 2 question. It's my understanding -- and please
- 3 correct me if I'm wrong -- that, you know, all of
- 4 this is dependent on the facility being issued a
- 5 license by the state who determines,
- 6 geographically and otherwise, how many licenses
- 7 might be in a particular area. I don't know if
- 8 they do it literally by city or space or how they
- 9 do it.
- So nothing is going to happen with us until
- 11 the state -- and here, I guess, is my question:
- 12 Do they have to get the license from the state
- 13 first before they come to us to get zoned to open
- 14 the shop up or is that -- how does that work
- 15 timing-wise, to the extent you know?
- MR. BREUNIG: Yeah. And -- and I don't know
- 17 if you have an opinion on that.
- 18 I believe that they would probably have to at
- 19 least be in process, you know, with that license.
- 20 With the medical I can tell you the way it
- 21 worked with the medical is that they had a
- 22 conditional approval, I suppose, from the state is
- 23 the way I would put it. That's not the right
- 24 terminology, the way the statute's written, but
- 25 that's -- that's essentially how it worked was

- 1 they got a -- you know, a provisional license,
- 2 then they went through their local zoning code
- 3 applications and everything else and then we were
- 4 able to issue a final licensure after they, you
- 5 know, had achieved their preliminary status with
- 6 the state and then confirmed to local
- 7 conformities.
- 8 This referendum, you know, that was put on
- 9 didn't address all of those procedural issues that
- 10 the more comprehensive medical marijuana statutory
- 11 scheme did address, so there were a lot of holes
- 12 in -- and I'm talking about the state statutory
- 13 scheme, not the local one. We had a lot more
- 14 guidance when we put together the medical
- 45 mariliana was know ashama from these
- 15 marijuana, you know, scheme from there.
 - So I guess my answer is I don't have an answer
- 17 to that question because, again, the state
- 18 statutory scheme, as it currently exists, doesn't
- 19 provide that.

16

- 20 MR. PYANOWSKI: And one last question: Are we
- 21 in any way going to restrict the number of
- 22 facilities that we're going to allow in Elyria in
- 23 this construction that we're doing? So in the
- 24 event, say, for instance, the state were to issue
- 25 a third license or authorize a third license
- **3**Z
- I within our jurisdiction, would we limit it to two
- 2 or is it just going to allow for whatever the
- 3 state authorizes?
- 4 I would like -- if we haven't thought about
- 5 that, I just would like to have that clearly in
- 6 there somehow.
- 7 MR. BREUNIG: We do have a cap of two, okay,
- 8 but, again, there is the potential of having that
- 9 preempted by, you know, general laws in the state.
- 10 So if the state does issue more and the statutory
- 11 scheme is amended, then our limitation, our cap
- 12 might be preempted by the state law.
 - MS. DEERY: And just to add on to that: At
- 14 the same time, should our local council, even if
- 15 we are not preempted, decide that two is not the
- 16 optimum number, whether that's for dispensaries or
- 17 just so we're clear, this also covers other
- 18 facilities as well. We have a processing facility
- 19 out on Murray Ridge -
- 20 Is that correct, Mr. Farkas?
 - as well, so this does also cover all levels
- 22 of licensures.
- 23 The average person, you know, thinks of
- 24 dispensaries, but the state does license in our
- 25 ordinance scheme in the zoning, it does cover all

13

	45	1	47
1	aspects of medical and adult use marijuana	1	47 Seeing none, Ms. Tomski, do we have a public
2	business.	2	hearing number or do I just go on to 32 on this?
3	If, let's just say, the City were to decide	3	MS. TOMSKI: I don't have a number.
4	and it is not preempted by the state that three is	4	MAYOR BRUBAKER: So I'll make it Public
5	the number, that is something then that could be	5	Hearing No. 2025 I'll entertain a motion for
6	amended. But two was the number that was utilized	6	Public Hearing 2025-100032, to approve amending
7	for the medical marijuana legislation and we	7	the relevant ordinances for the adult use
8	tracked that legislation very closely when it was	8	marijuana facilities within the City limits as
9	amended to introduce dual use to allow for also	9	proposed by the Law Director's office and
10	adult use, aka, recreational.	10	recommend it to full City Council.
11	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you, Law Director.	11	Is that appropriate, Mr. Breunig?
12	Are there any other questions from the	12	MR. BREUNIG: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	Commission?	13	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Thank you.
14	I do have a question for either Assistant Law	14	Is there a motion to approve?
15	Director Breunig or Mr. Craig, legal counsel.	15	MR. PYANOWSKI: Motion to approve.
16	What is the action that we're doing here	16	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Pyanowski.
17	today? Are we voting on anything? Is this an	17	MR. ADEN: Second.
18	update? Is this a public hearing?	18	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Second by Mr. Aden.
19	MR. BREUNIG: This is a public hearing, and	19	Any further discussion?
20	the action requested would be to recommend to	20	All in favor, signify by aye.
21	council the passage of amendments to the zoning	21	MR. ADEN: Aye.
22	ordinance in accordance with the packet you	22	MR. BATTLE: Aye.
23	received.	23	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
24	Normally, we ask for a little more clarity	24	MR. PYANOWSKI: Aye.
25	from you on these motions. We've drafted the	25	MR. TEDROW: Aye.
	46		48
1	legislation already. This has come from our	1	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Aye.
2	office.	2	Opposed, nay.
3	So what we're looking for is approval from the	3	Motion carried.
4	Planning Commission of the scheme of ordinances	4	Thank you, Assistant Law Director and Law
5	that we've put together in order to permit adult	5	Director.
6	use cannabis in the zoning classifications that	6	MAYOR BRUBAKER: We have - Item No. 8, there
7	we've requested that's B-G, L-I, and H-I	7	are no Planning Commission cases.
8	prerequisites being a conditional use for medical	8	Item No. 9 is your summary reports of Design
9	marijuana facility, and that there be no more than	9	Review applications that have been approved by the
10	two permitted in the City.	10	Design Review Staff to the Commission.
11	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Since it is a public hearing,	11	Are there any questions on any of those?
12	I will go through staff reports for any updates.	12	Okay. Any staff or Commission reports?
13	Mr. Farkas.	13	Seeing none, our next meeting is scheduled for
14	MR. FARKAS: Mr. Chair, I have no report.	14	May 6, 2025. And, again, we will have a update
15	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Mr. Schoenig.	15	from Mr. Farkas, Mr. Schoenig on the zoning update
16	MR. SCHOENIG: No further comments.	16	map, and we'll discuss that or have an update on
17	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Engineer Schneider.	17	that at that time.
18	MR. SCHNEIDER: Engineering has no comments.	18	So seeing no further business before this
19	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Assistant Chief Gall.	19	body, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
20	MR. GALL: Fire Department has no comment.	20	MR. ADEN: So moved.
21	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Are there any proponents?	21	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Moved by Mr. Aden.
22	Are there any proponents? Are there any	22	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
23	proponents?	23	MAYOR BRUBAKER: Second by Mr. Hubbard.
24	Are there any opponents? Are there any	24	Any further discussion?
25	opponents? Are there any opponents?	25	All in favor, aye.

```
49
1
       MR.ADEN: Aye.
2
       MR.BATTLE: Aye.
3
       MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
       MR. PYANOW SKI: Aye.
4
5
       MR. TEDROW: Aye.
6
       MAYOR BRUBAKER: Aye.
7
       Opposed, nay.
8
       Motion carried.
9
       Meeting adjourned. Thank you.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE
              The State of Ohio, )
County of Erie, )
  3
               County of Erie,
            I, Mary A. Hammond, a Court Reporter and Notary
Public within and for the State of Ohio, do hereby
certify that I attended the foregoing meeting, wrote
the same in stenotype, and that this is a true and
correct transcript of my stenotype notes.
  5
  6
            I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee of, or attorney for any of the parties or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
  8
  9
            I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
10
11
            In WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Berlin Heights, Ohio, this [!END DATE] day of [!END MONTH], 2025.
12
13
14
                                                    Mary A. Hammond

Mary W. Hammond

Court Reporter, Notary Public
My Commission Expires 12-25-27
Recorded in Eric County, Ohio
15
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```