

The FINANCE COMMITTEE held a Meeting on **MONDAY, JANUARY 31st, 2022 beginning at 6:18 P.M.**

FINANCE PRESENT: Chair Stewart, Tollett, Cerra, Davis, Absent: Schneider

CD PRESENT: Chair Callahan, Mitchell, Lipian, Oswald, Absent: Schneider

Chair Stewart said Mr. Schneider notified the Clerk's office and stated that he would not be able to attend this evening's meeting.

OTHERS PRESENT: Law Dir Deery, Mayor Whitfield, Safety Service Dir Brubaker, Finance Dir Pileski, Asst Finance Dir Farrell, Asst Dir Calvert, Asst Dir Williams, HR Dir Yousefi, Water Team Leader Jacob, Engineer McKillips, Judge Bennet, Councilperson Simmons

1. Approval of the Regular Finance Meeting Minutes ~ January 10TH, 2022.

Mr. Cerra moved and Mr. Tollett second to approve the 'said' Meeting Minutes.

2. The matter of Chapter 165 Employee Salaries. (*Standing Referral*)

Referred By: HR Director Yousefi

3. The matter of Municipal Court Employee Salaries. (*Standing Referral*)

Referred By: Judge Gary Bennett

Chair Stewart said he would like to encompass both items #2 and #3 at the same time to consider the salaries that are being considered.

Mayor Whitfield said they want to recognize employees that are working and some that are not in the union and they want to make sure their approach takes that in consideration. To make all employees are taken care of and not treating non-bargaining units as bargaining units. They've met with the Finance Dept. and have come to a fair conclusion.

Dir Brubaker said the administration has been in negotiations with numerous unions. They came to an agreement with FOP, AFSCME and recently the Fire Dept., which should be voted on and then will come to Committee in a few weeks. HR Dir, Dir Williams and Dir Brubaker have been in these bargaining unit discussions as far as finances where we are with the city, where we could be at in two or three years verses where we are now. There is money on the table right now, but we don't know where that money will be in 2 or 3 years. The administration's recommendation is to offer 165 employees a three percent increase for 2022 with a \$500 stipend. They feel that's a fair and keep in mind that the bonus is typically given to the unions and are typically 'signing bonuses'. Non-bargaining units don't bargain, but they thought that was fair. There are other things that were changed some time ago and should be looked at for the 165 employees.

Mr. Tollett said that one of the things that wasn't done, was there was not a salary study done for muni court, if we're going to link these together. The amount of compensation at that time, per the budget, changed in the fairness issue. Was that taken into consideration that a salary study was not done for muni court employees.

Dir Brubaker said the salary study was done under the former Dir Siwierka and former HR Dir Dillinger. His understanding was that all departments in the city were asked to participate in that including elected official offices. The Law Dir Office and Finance Dir Office participated and muni court chose not to participate.

Dir Deery said when the salary study was initiated, none of the elected officials opted to participate with the exception of those who fall under the mayor. The Law Dir office did agree to bring it's employees on board to the salary structure and at the same time the Finance office did. The Clerk of Court employees and the Judges employees are not presently on the salary structure. That could be revisited should they desire to be a part of that in the future.

Chair Stewart said he received a letter from the Judge on December 20th, 2021 with regards to the AFSCME contract and the history of AFSCME as it relates to muni court and ch 165 employees and what has happened in the past and where things are at currently.

Judge Bennett began with saying that all three branches of government are gathered here this evening; executive branch which is the administration, legislative branch and the judicial branch. There are checks and balances, and each are separate from the other. That is what makes America great.

Judge Bennett is here to ask to approve the same pay increase package for the employees of municipal court and clerk of courts, which was recently approved for AFSCME employees.

Historically, all non-union employees and management level employees were included in Ordinance 165 which was set out to include benefits and regulations that covered all non-union employees. For years/decades the 165 employees would receive the same increase that the AFSCME employees would receive. Council would usually approve the increase at the same meeting as the union contract was approved. This was a practice through the Keys and Grace administrations. Based on research today it appears it was 36 years.

In 2019 the Brinda administration did a performance audit of city management employees, resulting in all the management level employees' salaries be removed from ordinance 165 and placed in separate pay schedules which resulted in those employees, in some cases receiving increases of over \$10,000 and one received an increase of \$19,355.69. Those employees are still considered Ch 165 employees for pay increases. So those employees are in line to getting another pay increase and a \$500 bonus.

After the salary study by the Brinda Administration, the same day of pay increases coinciding with AFSCME, increases stopped, from that point on, the pay increases and that's what the Mayor and Dir Brubaker had addressed. Those employees are in line to getting another three percent and a \$500 bonus. From that point on after the same day approval of pay increases coinciding with AFSCME increases stopped. From that point on the judges and the Court Clerk have had to come to council to ask for their employees be treated fairly and equitably and for them to receive pay increases like the rest of the City employees. Not once did the Judge's ask for retro pay for their employees, even when it took months for the employees to finally receive their increase.

In 2018 through President Lotko they agreed that this failure to approve pay increases for court and clerk employees at the same time as union increases was unfair and should not continue to happen. But, here we are again. Judge Bennett said there are 31 full-time court and Court Clerk employees, which do not include Judge White, Judge Bennett or Clerk Rothgery. The City has 460 full-time employees. Muni Court's employees bailiffs, probation officers, assignment commissioners, compliance officers, deputy clerks and one IT Director. These are the same employees that kept the courts open during the pandemic. At the beginning of the pandemic the Finance Dir and the Mayor met with Judge White, Clerk Rothgery and Judge Bennett and asked for their employees to agree to take furlough to help address the perceived notion that the city coffers would be drained. The employees agreed to take furlough for three pay periods with the promise to re-evaluate after 6-weeks. They divided staff into teams to ensure that they could always keep open while reducing the cost of wages. They discovered that the City Departments and Unions did not agree to furlough.

The courts provide there own building, security, cleaning, probation services which are funded by the courts special funds. The use of special funds has saved the City hundreds of thousands of dollars.

Chair Stewart said that he and the Judge have had multitude conversations and he appreciates all hard work and dedication of those court employees and of all of the employees. What they're trying to do is to be fair. He said that they would put in the body of the ordinance that after one year they would revisit and take into consideration what AFSCME got on a one year basis, that way it would be evaluated as we went along to see where the City's finances are at. That would be a fair way to go.

Judge Bennett came back up to the microphone and said what Mr. Stewart is suggesting will put them back to where they've been for years. Every year to come back and beg to be treated fairly. And then wait for months to get something approved and the employees won't get the retro pay like the union employees get.

They're not talking about the same 165 employees that got thousands and thousands of dollars in raises which court employees didn't get. They fought to get two percent. They should get treated like the AFSCME employees and they don't want a 'pat on the back' and see ya next year, that's not fair.

Mr. Tollett said, out of an issue of fairness, he agrees with Judge Bennett. After looking at the finances they're looking a eight percent of the City employees that are employed at the courts. He will support following AFSCME, 3 years, 3 percent and same bonus.

Mrs. Davis said AFSCME employees pay union dues and sign a contract which is why they get the signing bonus. But you want us to give a bonus to others who don't have to bargain and you want us to get them a thousand dollar bonus and they don't even pay union dues. So if we offer them half and no other stipend during the term of the contract. She feels non-union shouldn't get the same as union.

Mr. Lipian said, as a guest of the finance committee and a member of council he wanted to make sure that we commend the services of the court and Judge Bennett for coming before the Council and for the muni court team defending and administering our constitutional rights. The mark of great government, as far as he can tell, is the degree to which it's willing to invest in the enforcement and upholding of the laws that they establish, which is the court's mission. He find's this to be an uncontroversial issue, though, he would like to echo what the Mayor has said and just so far as being sure that when we're establishing negotiations with unions, we're being circumspect because we so do establish precedence. As the Judge outlined the precedence that had been established going back about 35 years ago. We have to be circumspect in our negotiations when we interface with each other as a community to ensure that everyone is getting a fair shake. It's crucial given there's an IT Director involved in Ch. 165, because with the increasing importance of information technology the last thing we would want to do is not be able to fully support the implementation tech services to the court, which could make or break the functionality of the court system. He thanked the Judge and his employees for all the work they do.

Mrs. Mitchell asked why the court salaries are not divided equally among all the cities who use the municipal court?

Judge Bennett said there is a formula established by state law as how other cities in the district in the jurisdiction as to what their obligation is. Those cities are Elyria, North Ridgeville, LaGrange, Grafton and six townships.

Finance Dir Pileski said he does a calculation of the cost to run muni court and that becomes a benchmark for what the other entities owe the city, but they're limited to the amount of 'fine' money they get. The courts have their own checkbook to distribute 'fine' money to the other entities that they serve and if that number is lower than their share of the operations, that is what they give us back.

Law Dir Deery said when we speak of the employees, union AFSCME, Ch 165 and muni court clerk and judge's employees, at the time the salary structure was imposed, longevity for those employees was done away with. AFSCME still receives longevity and the clerk's and judges employees still receive longevity. It's a lot more complicated to say like verses like. The employees that are not on the salary structure have A, B and C as far as moving up steps. The salary structure has 13 steps including a step 0 [0 thru 12 steps]. There are a lot of components that go into this. It is true as far as for when the courts went on furlough. For the record, at that time her department and other departments cut their budgets. She said she has a small number of staff and they cut on things that they could. It's hard to make comparisons but as a department head they do need to be careful with making comparisons and with saying that non-bargaining and bargaining are the same.

Mr. Oswald said he appreciates what everyone is doing. What bothers him, with all the different departments and different unions and all of a sudden we give one union a stipend and next thing you know, everyone is getting stipends and raises. When do we say enough is enough. What are we going to do when our budget runs out and we don't have any money? The City is trying to be fiscally responsible and to make sure everyone gets what they deserve. It seems like everyone gets raises every year and bonuses, he doesn't understand it. And now it's like everyone expects those raises and bonuses.

Chair Stewart said these are difficult decisions to make. We respect our employees and the work they do. We have to be the good stewards of the City's money and accountability to our residents. And what's being proposed by the administration is three percent with a \$500 stipend for the first year. It's going to be into three pays and he would consider putting in retro pay, they've never asked for it in the past. That is some common ground. The three percent for the first year and then revisit it at the end of 2022. He will need clarification regarding the court employees that are not in chapter 165 that should also be considered.

Judge Bennett said there are special fund employees that are not General Fund employees and they would receive the same benefits and the same increase that the Chapter 165 will receive. Their salaries come out of the special funds, which is money the courts collect.

Chair Stewart said if they move forward with this that everyone would be covered.

Judge Bennett said there are also part-time employees and one is a magistrate who is an attorney. Then there are 6 or 7 security officers who are retired law enforcement officers who provide security for the court. They have one hundred plus prisoners that are brought into the building on a monthly basis.

Mayor Whitfield said he wanted to address the reason why they are offering the signing bonus/stipend and there are a couple of reasons they are going in that direction. One is the alternative to not coming to an agreement with a union is paying for attorneys to arbitrate and then we really lose control of the outcome. So part of it is a reward for thanking them for coming to the agreement so now we can save money which can go in the employees pockets instead of the attorney's pockets. The second was to save the future, so as giving a large percentage increase which will have to be paid no matter what, by providing that stipend, here's something now, because we have the money now and we don't know what the future holds. We don't want to put a percentage in place, that we may not have in the future. So those are the two kind of thought process around the bonus.

The Mayor went on to say that a lot of departments did do a lot of budget cuts in 2020, which is why we have a strong carry-over. There was also a hiring freeze in 2020.

He said he is in full support of those folks at Muni Court get the 3% increase. The recommendation is to intentionally put in the ordinance that they can come back and revisit it at the end of this year. And just in case the bottom falls out and we can only do 2% next year, so it's better that we're not locked in at the 3%. As to Mrs. Davis's point, bargaining units can get away with that because they're unions, they pay dues. The other groups are non-bargaining and we should be negotiating multiple year agreements with them. He's in full support of making sure employees are taken care of and he feels this is fair, kind of a consolation, even though there is no signing and still getting the stipend. He supports that for the employees out of respect for the employees and out of respect for Judge Bennett and his staff.

Judge Bennett asked why is there one standard for one group and another for the other group, because some pay union dues? He asked if that was taken into consideration when they've settled with police and fire, don't they get the same packages?

Mayor Whitfield said, no they are not getting the same packages. Each union is getting different. In 2020 was the year AFSCME got 1% and the fire got 3%. So, no, it's not a blanket where everyone gets the same thing.

Judge Bennett said the Police got 3%, the Patrolmen got 3%, FOP got 1%, AFSCME got 1% and the Court got zero percent. And in 2020 the Patrolman get 1%, the FOP got 2.5%, AFSCME got 2.5% and the Court got 1%, there was also retro activity thousand dollar bonuses. The court employees didn't get any of that. He's here today and asking to treat his employees fairly, treat us the same, don't create two standards and that's what I'm hearing, we're going to create two standards and he'll be back next year and the year after that like his come back every year since 2017, since the practice that was in place for 35 years had stopped. When Mr. Stewart offered retro activity, he assuming they're getting it, with the 3% each year and the thousand dollar bonus.

Chair Stewart said at this time we have what was offered by the administration and Chair added the 'retro pay'. He said he now needs a motion and they will vote.

Three percent for one year with a \$500 stipend and then re-visited at the end of 2022. And retro pay to be added into the number of pays that are determined by the Finance office.

Director suggested the matter to be re-visited at the 12/12/2022 meeting, which can be added into the body of the ordinance.

There was discussion about whether to add the part-time employees. Director Pileski said that he would need to know who they were going to be paying. The court part-time employees are permanent part-time and not seasonal like the parks employees.

Mrs. Davis asked if there were any part-time employees currently working in the City?

Dir Pileski said, yes we do.

Dir Deery said she has a part-time prosecutor who's permanent part-time.

Finance Dir said that he assumed that Judge Bennett wanted the 10 part-time employees to have the same 3% raise.

Judge Bennett explained that there is one part-time employee that he would consider in this group and that's the traffic magistrate. The security guards are paid on an hourly basis and they get paid out of a special fund. Judge Bennett is not expecting them to get the 3% increase.

Chair Stewart said there was an intent to bring everyone to the same salary, a cost of living increase of 3% and the \$500 stipend/bonus and they are trying to be fair. It seems like it's not enough even though we're trying to do the right thing. Frankly, by the end of year three, we're going to be hurting.

Decisions will have to be made at that time. In the meantime we're trying to do the right thing and making sure that those employees are being taken care of at this particular time and for this one year.

Mr. Cerra made a motion to go to a two year agreement.

Mrs. Davis said she is in agreement with a \$500 stipend and no more than a two year agreement, so we can re-visit it at the end of those 2 years.

Chair Stewart listed what is being agreed upon;

* a one year 3% raise with a \$500 stipend for all full-time non bargaining Ch 165 employees and retroactive pay and to be revisited in Dec. 2022.

* second option is to add a second year of the 3% raise with the \$500 stipend.

Finance Dir Pileski said in years past that when AFSCME contract was approved, Chapter 165 salaries were also increased. At that time there was no salary structure. He said that they never did multiple years. They always went back each year and adjusted the non-bargaining. But they can do it for 2 years if that is what the committee decides.

Mrs. Davis wants the \$500 bonus only for the first year.

Mr. Tollett moved and Mr. Cerra seconded to authorize an ordinance amending the wage ordinance to reflect a 3% increase for certain non-bargaining employees for years 2022 and 2023, \$500 bonus, retroactive pay and revisit in Dec. 2023.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

4. The matter of a Water Supply Revolving Loan Account Agreement through Ohio EPA for replacement of lead service lines and galvanized service lines.

Referred By: Water Team Leader Sam F. Jacob *[This matter was passed by the Utilities Committee on 1/19]*

Team Leader Jacob said this first ordinance is giving him permission to apply for a forgivable loan up to a million dollars to replace lead service lines. Last year we applied for two loans and we got 1.9 million dollars in forgivable loans from the state. There are new loans coming out. Some of his staff is on committees from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and we were told to get the application in asap. The application is in the process of getting prepared and will get submitted next week. We won't know until June or July if we get it. But we wanted to be proactive and cover ourselves to get it submitted right away.

Chair Stewart asked how far we are with replacing those lines?

Mr. Jacob said the 2nd phase will be completed late this spring and will be about two thirds done at that time, [about 550 lead and galvanized lines]. If we get another million dollar forgivable loan, we should be able to completely finish the project, which would be about another 400 or 500 more. The cost is over five thousand per tap. Mr. Rothgery is working on the list for this loan, they are submitting the paperwork this week, which will get us on the list. If they find out that there are more lines, those will also be replaced thru this loan money.

Mr. Cerra moved and Mrs. Davis seconded to recommend an ordinance authorizing the Mayor to apply for and accept if offered, the 'said' loan agreement and or grant through the Ohio EPA.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

5. The matter of a the replacement of Lead and Galvanized Service Lines throughout the City. (Public & Private Lines) *[This matter was passed by the Utilities Committee on 1/19]*

Referred By: Water Team Leader Sam F. Jacob

Team Leader Jacob said this second ordinance is to authorize the Mayor to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the project if we're offered the loan. We have to be ready so we can begin as soon as we find out if we've received the loan.

The contractor knows that if we don't get the forgivable loan from the Ohio EPA then we don't sign the contractor.

Mr. Jacob said when the City did the Water Meter Replacement Project they could tell what kind of line is going into the house at the time they installed the new water meter.

That would have been recorded and the other way to find out is to have a service worker come to your house and look where the water meter is and check that line coming into the meter. He said that normally the galvanized line is from the curb box / the shut off in the tree lawn up to the water meter which is only what the city changes. If a resident has other pipes that need to be changed out, there are other ways to do that, through Community Development programs, if a resident qualifies.

Mr. Tollett moved and Mrs. Davis seconded to recommend an ordinance authorizing the Mayor to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the replacement of 'said' lines.
MOTION MADE COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

6. The matter of a change-order~No. 2 for additional quantities for the 2021 'crack sealing program'. Referred By: City Engineer McKillips

[This matter was passed by the Utilities Committee on 1/19]

Engineer McKillips said Council approved a budget up to a hundred thousand dollars to do crack sealing in 2021. They had bid it out and awarded the contract for \$83,250 to Dennis Concrete and they proceeded to move forward throughout the City. They did parts of Rt 57, Abbe Road and many streets in neighborhoods which added up to over 188,000 lineal feet of cracks on over 47 streets which includes City Hall Parking lot, West Park and East Park lots. With the additional quantities it took to do these additional areas, they did exceed the contract amount and they did go above 10 percent over the contract amount which requires Council approval which is \$20,035 which is what we're asking for. The crack sealing is for asphalt and concrete streets only.

Mr. Tollett moved and Mr. Cerra seconded to recommend an ordinance for the 'said' change order for the Crack Sealing Project.
MOTION MADE COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

7. The matter of body-worn cameras and in-car cameras for the Elyria Police Dept. Referred By: Police Chief Pelko

Chair Stewart said this is one of the things that was promised with the half percent tax renewal. This would be a part of the process to move forward with the Police Department.

Chief Pelko said there has been a committee who has been exploring this matter along with the IT Dept., Safety Service, Communications and the Police Department. They feel they will be a benefit not only to the Police Dept., but also to the citizens. It'll provide more accountability and transparency, officer performance and civilian conduct, it'll be an unbiased and impartial witness, evidence for cases and will hopefully expedite cases and provide faster resolutions to cases. They will use it for training and development, for field training officers, for the new patrolman that have been recruited, for corrective training, to evaluate officers. In studies from other departments it shows there's a reduction in complaints and use of force complaints when you have body worn and in car cameras. They can also be used to identify and correct problems or weaknesses that are seen when these cameras are in use.

Along with these cameras, IT explained that the department's MCT, mobile computer terminals in the patrol cars are outdated and will not support the software for these cameras so those would also need to be updated in order for them to be compatible.

There will be 3 costs;

1. \$645,589 for the body worn cameras, to be paid over 5 years. First year is \$197,269 and the following years after that are \$112,080.
2. \$443,872 for the in-car cameras, to be paid over five years; first year is \$90,560 and \$88,328 the following years after that.
3. \$114,000 for the Mobile IT Terminals (thru Telrepko).

Mr. Tollett asked if the in-car cameras are the cameras that project out to the scene?

Or do they project back to who you've just arrested?

Chief Pelko said that those in-car cameras can do both. He said there will be triggers on the doors so when they open the door to place the prisoner in it will automatically set the camera to record in the back seat.

Chair Stewart asked how long this will take to get set up?

Chief Pelko said they're looking from anywhere from 4 to 6 months to get the equipment and to get it installed, and depending on the supply chain.

Mrs. Mitchell asked how much Issue 6 money was put aside for these cameras?

Finance Dir Pileski said they've already appropriated \$295,000 for this year's payment.

The \$114,000 is not appropriated and will have to be part of the appropriation changes.

Mrs. Mitchell asked if Elyria got a grant for this equipment?

Chief Pelko said there are grants out there and we did not apply for the first grant because we weren't ready at that time. They are anticipating there is a grant this year and when the time come we will apply for that for some reimbursement for those funds.

Finance Dir Pileski said we have \$295,000 appropriated in Issue 6 Fund.

Finance Dir Farrell said the \$295,000 is part of the appropriations for the first year for the first two items, amount is actually \$287,000. But the \$114,000 for the IT upgrade in the cars has not been appropriated up to this point. The temporary appropriations for Issue 6 is the permanent at this point.

Law Dir Deery asked if this would go with an emergency clause? And confirmed this is a State Purchasing and won't have to go out for bid.

Chief Pelko said yes.

Mr. Lipian asked if there's been discussion of what kind of retention period these data files are going to have in order to ensure good data policies and retention?

Chief Pelko said they would have to develop a policy and a retention policy on that as far as if there is a major event or something we would tag that and retain it. There would have to be a policy and develop a set standard of how many days where video would be retained. That would have to be looked into that.

Chair Stewart that may be a discussion for another meeting as to the whole process of downloading and retaining it.

Mayor Whitfield commended all the departments for all their work on this matter. This is a big deal to institute body cams and there is a lot at stake. The decisions were done in a collaborative way where it wasn't just one group.

Assistant Dir Williams said there's been lots of discussion on retention and other aspects and when we get done with this part of the process there will be a presentation to Council and they will share with us as to how this works.

Mrs. Davis said this is a great opportunity and we feel comfortable and appreciate Chief Pelko in moving forward with this and she wants to thank Issue 6 and the residents.

Mr. Cerra and Mrs. Davis concur that this needs to pass as an emergency.

Mr. Cerra moved and Mrs. Davis seconded to recommend an ordinance for a five year contract with AXON Enterprise, Inc. for 'said' equipment for the Police Dept.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

8. The matter of the appointment of (3) Council Members to the City's Economic Development Committee for a (2) Year Term.

Referred By: President Stewart

Chair Stewart said this is a committee that 3 council members sit on and they talk about opportunities for business owners in downtown.

Dir Calvert said the EEDC acts in an advisory capacity for City Council on issues of Economic Development incentives and grant programs. In some cases the EEDC actually acts as an authorizing body which was written into the program guidelines.

The City relies on the EEDC to give feedback on incentives and grant programs so they feel confident that they've reviewed it as a team and heard feedback as required. They meet on an as needed basis. They currently have a number of small business grants that need to be formally approved.

Mrs. Davis moved and Mr. Cerra seconded to recommend an ordinance to appoint the 3 members of Council to the EEDC for a two year term.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

9. The matter of the appointment of JEDD Representatives.

Referred By: President Stewart

Dir Brubaker said this is for the Elyria Township JEDD. Mr. Schneider will join him once a quarter for the JEDD quarterly meetings with township trustees regarding any business of the Joint Economic Development district we have with Elyria Township. It's referring to dollars that have come into their district from their businesses that are shared with Elyria and the township and reports are generally put together by our Finance Director. Sometimes our Engineer will join us in these meetings to discuss ongoing projects.

Mr. Cerra moved and Mrs. Davis seconded to recommend an ordinance to appoint Chad Schneider to the JEDD for years 2022 and 2023.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

10. The matter of Amendments to the 2022 Temporary Appropriations. (Standing Referral)

Referred By: Finance Director's Pileski and Farrell

Finance Dir Pileski said the 1st change is in the Water Fund ~ adding \$3,110,000 in Capital outlay for \$1,250,000 for the Clark Street Water Tower Improvement project and \$340,000 for the 2nd Street and Cedar Street Water Main Project. \$1,120,000 for the Oberlin-Elyria Road Water Main Project. \$250,000 for the 16th Street Water Main Project. \$150,000 for the water lateral replacement project.

The 2nd change is the \$5 left in the CARES ACT Fund and a couple months to spend it so it will go in the covid19 miscellaneous operating fund.

The last one is the \$114,000 for the upgrades to the computers for the police cars and will be appropriated in the 2016 .50% income tax fund.

Mr. Cerra moved and Mrs. Davis seconded to recommend an ordinance to amend the 'said' 2022 temporary appropriations.

MOTION MADE

COMMITTEE REPORT WRITTEN

Mr. Cerra moved and second by Mrs. Davis to adjourn this evening's Finance Meeting at 7:50 P.M.

MOTION CARRIED

Respectfully submitted by,

Colleen Rosado, Council Clerk Secretary

CMR/